arXiv:1511.08981v1 [math.GN] 29 Nov 2015

# ON BAIRE CLASSIFICATION OF MAPPINGS WITH VALUES IN CONNECTED SPACES

OLENA KARLOVA

Abstract. We generalize the Lebesgue-Hausdorff Theorem on the characterization of Baire-one functions for $\sigma$-strongly functionally discrete mappings defined on arbitrary topological spaces.

## 1. Introduction

A subset $A$ of a topological space $X$ is *functionally $F_\sigma$- ($G_\delta$)-set* if $A$ is the union (the intersection) of a sequence of functionally closed (functionally open) subsets of $X$.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be topological spaces and $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping. We say that $f$ belongs to

- *the first Baire class*, $f \in \mathrm{B}_1(X,Y)$, if $f$ is a pointwise limit of a sequence of continuous mappings between $X$ and $Y$;
- *the first Lebesgue class*, $f \in \mathrm{H}_1(X,Y)$, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is an $F_\sigma$-set in $X$ for any open subset $V$ of $Y$;
- *the first functional Lebesgue class*, $f \in \mathrm{K}_1(X,Y)$, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a functionally $F_\sigma$-set in $X$ for any open subset $V$ of $Y$;
- *the first weak functional Lebesgue class*, $f \in \mathrm{K}_1^w(X,Y)$, if $f^{-1}(V)$ is a functionally $F_\sigma$-set in $X$ for any functionally open subset $V$ of $Y$.

The classical Lebesgue-Hausdorff theorem [12, 7] tells us that the classes $\mathrm{B}_1(X,Y)$ and $\mathrm{H}_1(X,Y)$ coincide if $X$ is a metrizable space and $Y = [0,1]^\omega$. This theorem was generalized by many mathematicians (see [15, 11, 16, 6, 14, 8, 4, 18] and the literature given there). For classification of mappings with values in non-separable metric spaces, R. Hansell in [5] introduced a notion of $\sigma$-discrete mapping. Namely, a mapping $f : X \to Y$ is *$\sigma$-discrete* if there exists a family $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n$ of subsets of $X$ such that every family $\mathcal{B}_n$ is discrete in $X$ and the preimage $f^{-1}(V)$ of any open set $V \subseteq Y$ is a union of sets from $\mathcal{B}$. The class of all $\sigma$-discrete mappings between $X$ and $Y$ is denoted by $\Sigma(X,Y)$. Among others we note the following generalizations of the Lebesgue-Hausdorff theorem:

**Theorem A** (R. Hansell [6])**.** *Let $X$ be a collectionwise normal space and $Y$ be a closed convex subset of a Banach space. Then $\mathrm{B}_1(X,Y) = \mathrm{H}_1(X,Y) \cap \Sigma(X,Y)$.*

**Theorem B** (M. Fosgerau [4])**.** *Let $Y$ be a complete metric space. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *$Y$ is connected and locally connected;*
(ii) $\mathrm{H}_1([0,1],Y) = \mathrm{B}_1([0,1],Y)$*;*
(iii) $\mathrm{H}_1(X,Y) \cap \Sigma(X,Y) = \mathrm{B}_1(X,Y)$ *for any metric space $X$.*

In order to weaken the condition on $X$ in Theorem B, L. Veselý [18] considered strongly $\sigma$-discrete mappings. A mapping $f : X \to Y$ is *strongly $\sigma$-discrete* if there exists a family $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n$ of subsets of $X$ such that for every family $\mathcal{B}_n = (B_i : i \in I_n)$ there exists a discrete family $(U_i : i \in I_n)$ of open sets in $X$ with $\overline{B_i} \subseteq U_i$ for all $i \in I_n$ and, moreover, the preimage $f^{-1}(V)$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C08, 26A21; Secondary 54C50, 54H05.

*Key words and phrases.* $\sigma$-strongly functionally discrete mapping, Baire-one mapping, Lebesgue-Hausdorff theorem.

of any open set $V$ in $Y$ is a union of sets from $\mathcal{B}$. Veselý [18] denoted the collection of all such mappings by $\Sigma^*(X,Y)$ and proved the following theorem.

**Theorem C** (L. Veselý [18])**.** *Let $X$ be a normal space and $Y$ be a connected and locally arcwise connected metrizable space. Then* $\mathrm{B}_1(X,Y) = \mathrm{H}_1(X,Y) \cap \Sigma^*(X,Y)$.

The aim of this paper is a generalization of Theorems B and C for mappings defined on an arbitrary topological space. With this purpose in Section 2 we shall introduce a class $\Sigma^f(X,Y)$ of $\sigma$-strongly functionally discrete mappings (which coincides with the class $\Sigma^*(X,Y)$ if the space $X$ is normal).

**Theorem D.** *Let $X$ be a topological space and $Y$ be a connected and locally arcwise connected metrizable space. Then* $\mathrm{B}_1(X,Y) = \mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) \cap \Sigma^f(X,Y)$.

**Theorem E.** *For a completely metrizable space $Y$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i) *$Y$ is connected and locally arcwise connected;*
(ii) $\mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) \cap \Sigma^f(X,Y) = \mathrm{B}_1(X,Y)$ *for any topological space $X$;*
(iii) $\mathrm{H}_1([0,1],Y) = \mathrm{B}_1([0,1],Y)$.

## 2. Relations between Baire-one, Lebesgue-one and $\sigma$-discrete mappings

**Definition 1.** A family $\mathcal{A} = (A_i : i \in I)$ of subsets of a topological space $X$ is called

(1) *discrete*, if every point of $X$ has an open neighborhood which intersects at most one set from $\mathcal{A}$;
(2) *strongly discrete*, if there exists a discrete family $(U_i : i \in I)$ of open subsets of $X$ such that $\overline{A_i} \subseteq U_i$ for every $i \in I$;
(3) *strongly functionally discrete* or *sfd-family*, if there exists a discrete family $(U_i : i \in I)$ of functionally open subsets of $X$ such that $\overline{A_i} \subseteq U_i$ for every $i \in I$;

Notice that (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) for any $X$; a topological space $X$ is collectionwise normal if and only if every discrete family in $X$ is strongly discrete; if $X$ is normal then (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3).

**Definition 2.** Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a property of a family of subsets of topological space. A family $\mathcal{A}$ is called *a $\sigma$-$\mathcal{P}$-family* if $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$, where every family $\mathcal{A}_n$ has the property $\mathcal{P}$.

**Definition 3.** A family $\mathcal{B}$ of sets of a topological space $X$ is called *a base* for a mapping $f : X \to Y$ if the preimage $f^{-1}(V)$ of an arbitrary open set $V$ in $Y$ is a union of sets from $\mathcal{B}$.

**Definition 4.** If a mapping $f : X \to Y$ has a base which is a $\sigma$-$\mathcal{P}$-family, then we say that $f$ is *a $\sigma$-$\mathcal{P}$ mapping*.

The collection of all $\sigma$-$\mathcal{P}$ mappings between $X$ and $Y$ will be denoted by

- $\Sigma(X,Y)$ if $\mathcal{P}$ is the property of discreteness;
- $\Sigma^*(X,Y)$ if $\mathcal{P}$ is the property of a strong discreteness;
- $\Sigma^f(X,Y)$ if $\mathcal{P}$ is the property of a strong functional discreteness.

By $\Sigma_0^{f*}(X,Y)$ we denote the collection of all mappings between $X$ and $Y$ which has a $\sigma$-sfd base of functionally closed subsets of $X$.

Notice that every mapping into a second-countable space is $\sigma$-discrete. Hansell proved in [5] that every Borel measurable mapping (in particular, every $\mathrm{H}_1$-mapping) $f : X \to Y$ is $\sigma$-discrete if $X$ is a complete metric space and $Y$ is a metric space.

**Theorem 1.** *Let $X$ be a topological space and $Y$ be a metrizable space. Then*

$$\mathrm{B}_1(X,Y) \subseteq \Sigma_0^{f*}(X,Y) = \mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) \cap \Sigma^f(X,Y).$$

*Proof.* The equality $\Sigma_0^{f*}(X,Y) = \mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) \cap \Sigma^f(X,Y)$ is proved in [9, Theorem 6].

Let $f \in \mathrm{B}_1(X,Y)$ and $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of continuous mappings $f_n : X \to Y$ such that $f(x) = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} f_n(x)$ for every $x \in X$. Fix any $\sigma$-discrete open base $\mathcal{V} = \bigcup\limits_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_m$ of $Y$, where each family $\mathcal{V}_m$ is discrete. For every $V \in \mathcal{V}$ we take a sequence $(G_{k,V})_{k=1}^\infty$ of open sets such that $\overline{G_{k,V}} \subseteq G_{k+1,V}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $V = \bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{G_{k,V}}$. Observe that

$$f^{-1}(V) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} f_n^{-1}(\overline{G_{k,V}}).$$

Denote $F_{k,V} = \bigcap\limits_{n=k}^{\infty} f_n^{-1}(\overline{G_{k,V}})$ and notice that every set $F_{k,V}$ is functionally closed in $X$. For all $k,m \in \mathbb{N}$ we put $\mathcal{B}_{k,m} = (F_{k,V} : V \in \mathcal{V}_m)$ and $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup\limits_{k,m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_{k,m}$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is a base for $f$. Moreover, every family $\mathcal{B}_{k,m}$ is strongly functionally discrete, since $F_{k,V} \subseteq f_k^{-1}(V)$ and the family $(f_k^{-1}(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}_m)$ is discrete and consists of functionally open sets. Hence, $\mathcal{B}$ is a $\sigma$-sfd base for $f$. Thus, $f \in \Sigma_0^{f*}(X,Y)$. □

**Proposition 2.** *Let $X$ and $Y$ be topological spaces. Then*

(1) $\mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) \subseteq \mathrm{K}_1^w(X,Y)$;
(2) $\mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) = \mathrm{H}_1(X,Y)$ *if $X$ is perfectly normal;*
(3) $\mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) = \mathrm{K}_1^w(X,Y) \subseteq \mathrm{H}_1(X,Y)$ *if $Y$ is perfectly normal;*
(4) $\mathrm{H}_1(X,Y) \subseteq \mathrm{K}_1^w(X,Y)$ *if $X$ is normal;*
(5) $\mathrm{H}_1(\upsilon X,Y) \subseteq \mathrm{K}_1^w(\upsilon X,Y)$ *if $X$ is normal.*

*Proof.* Since (1), (2) and (3) are obvious, we prove (4) and (5).

Let $F$ be a functionally closed subset of $Y$ and $\varphi : Y \to [0,1]$ be a continuous function with $F = \varphi^{-1}(0)$. We put $G_n = \varphi^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{n}))$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(4) Let $f \in \mathrm{H}_1(X,Y)$. For every $n$ the sets $A_n = f^{-1}(G_n)$ and $B_n = X \setminus f^{-1}(\overline{G}_n)$ are disjoint and $F_\sigma$ in $X$. Then by [18, Lemma 1.7] for every $n$ there exists a functionally $G_\delta$-set $C_n$ in $X$ such that $A_n \subseteq C_n$ and $C_n \cap B_n = \emptyset$. Notice that $f^{-1}(F) = \bigcap\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$. Hence, $f^{-1}(F)$ is a functionally $G_\delta$-set in $X$. Therefore, $f \in \mathrm{K}_1^w(X,Y)$.

(5) Let $f \in \mathrm{H}_1(\upsilon X,Y)$. Put

$$A = f^{-1}(F),\ \ A_n = f^{-1}(G_{n+2}),$$
$$B_n = \upsilon X \setminus f^{-1}(G_n),\ \ C_n = \upsilon X \setminus f^{-1}(\overline{G}_{n+1}).$$

Then $A \subseteq A_n$, $B_n \subseteq C_n$, $A_n \cap C_n = \emptyset$, $A$ and $B_n$ are $G_\delta$-sets in $\upsilon X$, $A_n$ and $C_n$ are $F_\sigma$-sets in $\upsilon X$. If $A = \emptyset$ or $\upsilon X \setminus A = \emptyset$, then $A$ is a functionally $G_\delta$-set. Thus, it is sufficient to consider the case of $A \neq \emptyset$ and $\upsilon X \setminus A \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, we may assume that $B_n \neq \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $X$ intersects every nonempty $G_\delta$-subset of $\upsilon X$ (and, consequently, every nonempty $G_{\delta\sigma}$-set) by [2, Corollary 2.6], $A_X = A \cap X \neq \emptyset$ and $B_{n,X} = B_n \cap X \neq \emptyset$. Then $A_{n,X} = A_n \cap X$ and $C_{n,X} = C_n \cap X$ are nonempty disjoint $F_\sigma$-subsets of the normal space $X$. Applying [18, Lemma 1.7] for every $n$, we choose a functionally $G_\delta$-set $D_{n,X}$ in $X$ such that $A_{n,X} \subseteq D_{n,X}$ and $D_{n,X} \cap C_{n,X} = \emptyset$. Since $X$ is $C$-embedded subspace of $\upsilon X$, there exists a functionally $G_\delta$-set $D_n$ in $\upsilon X$ such that $D_n \cap X = D_{n,X}$.

Notice that $D_n \cap B_n = \emptyset$, since otherwise $D_n \cap B_n$ is nonempty $G_\delta$-subset of $\upsilon X$ which does not intersect $X$, which contradicts to the $G_\delta$-density of $X$ in $\upsilon X$. Notice that $A \setminus D_n$ is a $G_{\delta\sigma}$-set in $\upsilon X$ and $(A \setminus D_n) \cap X \subseteq A_{n,X} \setminus D_{n,X} = \emptyset$. Therefore, $A \subseteq D_n$.

Hence, $(D_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of functionally $G_\delta$-subsets of $\upsilon X$ such that $A \subseteq D_n \subseteq f^{-1}(G_n)$ for every $n$. Then the set $f^{-1}(F) = \bigcap\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ is functionally $G_\delta$ in $\upsilon X$. Therefore, $f \in \mathrm{K}_1^w(\upsilon X, Y)$. $\square$

Let us observe that the space $X = \{(x_t)_{t\in[0,1]} \in \mathbb{R}^{[0,1]} : |\{t \in [0,1] : x_t \neq 0\}| \leq \aleph_0\}$ is normal [3], but its Hewitt-Nachbin completion $\upsilon X = \mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$ is not normal [17].

The following examples show that the conditions on $X$ and $Y$ in Proposition 2 are essential.

**Example 1.** *There exists a Hausdorff compact space $Y$ such that*

$$\mathrm{K}_1^w(\mathbb{R}, Y) \setminus \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{R}, Y) \neq \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* Let $Y = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the Alexandroff one-point compactification of the real line $\mathbb{R}$ with the discrete topology and let $f : \mathbb{R} \to Y$ be a mapping such that $f(0) = \infty$ and $f(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then the preimage $f^{-1}(F)$ of any functionally closed subset $F \subseteq Y$ is either finite, or has a countable complement, i.e. $f^{-1}(F)$ is $G_\delta$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Hence, $f \in \mathrm{K}_1^w(\mathbb{R}, Y)$. On the other hand, if $V$ is any non-Borel subset of $\mathbb{R}$, then $V$ is open in $Y$, but $f^{-1}(V)$ is not $F_\sigma$. Therefore, $f \notin \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{R}, Y)$. $\square$

**Example 2.** *There exists a completely regular space $X$ such that*

$$\mathrm{H}_1(X, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathrm{K}_1^w(X, \mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* Let $X$ be the Niemytski plane, i.e. $X = \mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty)$, where a base of neighborhoods of $(x, y) \in X$ with $y > 0$ form open balls with the center in $(x, y)$, and a base of neighborhoods of $(x, 0)$ form the sets $U \cup \{(x, 0)\}$, where $U$ is an open ball which tangent to $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$ in the point $(x, 0)$. It is well-known that the space $X$ is perfect and completely regular, but is not normal.

Let $E$ be a set which is not of the $G_{\delta\sigma}$-type in $\mathbb{R}$ and consider the subspace $A = E \times \{0\}$ of $X$. Then $A$ is $F_\sigma$- and $G_\delta$-subset of $X$. We show that $A$ is not functionally $F_\sigma$ in $X$. Assume the contrary and write $A = \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$, where each $A_n$ is a functionally closed subset of $X$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we take a continuous function $f_n : X \to [0, 1]$ such that $A_n = f_n^{-1}(0)$ and for all $(x, y) \in X$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we put

$$g_{n,m}(x, y) = \begin{cases} f_n(x, y), & y \geq \frac{1}{m}, \\ f_n(x, \frac{1}{m}), & 0 \leq y < \frac{1}{m}. \end{cases}$$

Then $g_{n,m} : \mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty) \to [0, 1]$ is a continuous function and $\lim\limits_{m\to\infty} g_{n,m}(x, y) = f_n(x, y)$ for every $(x, y) \in X$. Therefore, $f_n \in \mathrm{B}_1(\mathbb{R} \times [0, +\infty), [0, 1])$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that every set $A_n$ is a $G_\delta$-subset of $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}$, a contradiction.

It is easy to see that $\chi_A \in \mathrm{H}_1(X, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathrm{K}_1^w(X, \mathbb{R})$. $\square$

## 3. Approximation Lemma

**Definition 5.** A family $\mathcal{A}$ of subsets of topological space $X$ is called *strictly functionally discrete* if for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a continuous function $f_A : X \to [0, 1]$ such that $A \subseteq f_A^{-1}(0)$ and the family $(f_A^{-1}([0, 1)) : A \in \mathcal{A})$ is discrete.

Evidently, each strictly functionally discrete family is strongly functionally discrete and these two concepts coincide in the case when $\mathcal{A}$ consists of functionally closed sets.

For a family $\mathcal{A} = (A_i : i \in I)$ the set $\bigcup\limits_{i\in I} A_i$ is denoted by $\cup\mathcal{A}$.

**Proposition 3.** *Let $X$ be a topological space, $(Y, d)$ be a metric space and $f : X \to Y$ be a mapping. Assume that for some integer $n \geq 2$ there are strictly functionally discrete families $\mathcal{F}_0$, $\mathcal{F}_1$,..., $\mathcal{F}_n$ of subsets of $X$ and mappings $p_k : \mathcal{F}_k \to Y$ for $k \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ such that*

(A) *the family $\mathcal{F}_0$ consists of a single set $X$;*
(B) *for every $k \leq n$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_k$ we get $\sup\limits_{x\in F} d(f(x), p_k(F)) < \frac{1}{2^{k+2}}$;*

(C) *for every* $k < n$ *each set of* $\mathcal{F}_{k+1}$ *is contained in some set of* $\mathcal{F}_k$*;*
(D) *for every* $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$ *the points* $p_0(X)$ *and* $p_1(F)$ *can be joined by an arc in* $Y$*;*
(E) *for any* $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ *and sets* $F \in \mathcal{F}_{k+1}$ *and* $F' \in \mathcal{F}_k$ *with with* $F \subseteq F'$ *the points* $p_{k+1}(F)$ *and* $p_k(F')$ *can be joined by an arc of diameter* $< \frac{1}{2^{k+2}}$ *in* $Y$*.*

*Then there exists a continuous mapping* $g : X \to Y$ *such that for any* $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ *and* $x \in \cup\mathcal{F}_k$ *we get*

$$d(f(x), g(x)) < \frac{1}{2^k}. \tag{1}$$

*Proof.* For every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_k$ we take a continuous function $\psi_{F,k} : X \to [0,1]$ such that $F \subseteq \psi_{F,k}^{-1}(0)$ and the family $(\psi_{F,k}^{-1}([0,1)) : F \in \mathcal{F}_k)$ is discrete. Put $\varphi_{F,1} = \psi_{F,1}$ for every $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$. Now for every $F \in \mathcal{F}_2$ we choose $F' \in \mathcal{F}_1$ such that $F \subseteq F'$ and set $U_{F,2} = \psi_{F,2}^{-1}([0,1)) \cap \varphi_{F',1}^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{2}))$. There exists a continuous function $\varphi_{F,2} : X \to [0,1]$ such that $\varphi_{F,2}^{-1}(0) = \psi_{F,2}^{-1}(0)$ and $\varphi_{F,2}^{-1}([0,1)) = U_{F,2}$. Proceeding inductively in this way we obtain a sequence of families $(\varphi_{F,k} : F \in \mathcal{F}_k)_{1 \le k \le n}$ of continuous functions $\varphi_{F,k} : X \to [0,1]$ such that for any $k \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ and $F \subseteq F_k$ there is $F' \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1}$ with $F \subseteq F'$ and

$$F \subseteq \varphi_{F,k}^{-1}(0) \subseteq \varphi_{F,k}^{-1}([0,1)) \subseteq \varphi_{F',k-1}^{-1}([0, \tfrac{1}{2})), \tag{2}$$

and the family $(U_{F,k} : F \in \mathcal{F}_k)$ is discrete, where $U_{F,k} = \varphi_{F,k}^{-1}([0,1))$. For every $k$ we put

$$U_k = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k} U_{F,k}$$

and notice that the sets

$$H_k = \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k} \varphi_{i,k}^{-1}([0, \tfrac{1}{2}]) \quad \text{and} \quad E_k = X \setminus U_k$$

are disjoint and functionally closed in $X$. Hence, there exists a continuous function $h_k : X \to [0,1]$ such that $H_k = h_k^{-1}(1)$ and $E_k = h_k^{-1}(0)$.

For all $x \in X$ let

$$g_0(x) = p_0(X).$$

For every $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$ we take a continuous function $\gamma_{F,1} : [0,1] \to Y$ with $\gamma_{F,1}(0) = p_0(X)$ and $\gamma_{F,1}(1) = p_1(F)$. Define $g_1 : X \to Y$ by the formula

$$g_1(x) = \begin{cases} g_0(x), & \text{if } x \in E_1, \\ \gamma_{F,1}(h_1(x)), & \text{if } x \in \overline{U_{F,1}} \text{ for some } F \in \mathcal{F}_1. \end{cases}$$

Let us observe that the family $(U_{F,1} : F \in \mathcal{F}_1)$ is discrete and for every $x \in \overline{U_{F,1}} \setminus U_{F,1}$ with $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$ we have $h_1(x) = 0$ and $g_1(x) = \gamma_{F,1}(0) = g_0(x)$. Moreover, $g_1(x) = p_1(F)$ for $x \in \varphi_{F,1}^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{2}])$, $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$. Therefore, $g_1$ is well-defined continuous mapping.

Assume that we have already defined continuous mappings $g_1, \dots, g_k : X \to Y$ for $1 \le k < n$ such that $g_k(x) = g_{k-1}(x)$ if $x \in E_k$ and $g_k(x) = p_k(F)$ if $x \in \varphi_{F,k}^{-1}([0, \frac{1}{2}])$ for some $F \in \mathcal{F}_k$. Using (E) for every $F \in \mathcal{F}_{k+1}$ we choose a set $F' \in \mathcal{F}_k$ with $F \subseteq F'$ and a continuous arc $\gamma_{F,k+1} : [0,1] \to Y$ of diameter $< \frac{1}{2^{k+2}}$ such that $\gamma_{F,k+1}(0) = p_k(F')$ and $\gamma_{F,k+1}(1) = p_{k+1}(F)$. Define a continuous mapping $g_{k+1} : X \to Y$ by the formula

$$g_{k+1}(x) = \begin{cases} g_k(x), & \text{if } x \in E_{k+1}, \\ \gamma_{F,k+1}(h_{k+1}(x)), & \text{if } x \in \overline{U_{F,k+1}} \text{ for some } F \in \mathcal{F}_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

We prove that the inequality

$$d(g_{k+1}(x), g_k(x)) < \frac{1}{2^{k+2}} \tag{3}$$

holds for every $x \in X$. Clearly, (3) is valid for $x \in E_{k+1}$. If $x \in U_{F,k+1}$ for $F \in \mathcal{F}_{k+1}$, then $x \in \varphi^{-1}_{F',k}([0, \frac{1}{2}))$ and

$$g_{k+1}(x) = \gamma_{F,k+1}(h_{k+1}(x)), \quad g_k(x) = p_k(F') = \gamma_{F,k+1}(0),$$

which implies (3).

Proceeding inductively we define continuous mappings $g_1, \dots, g_n : X \to Y$ which satisfy (3) for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $x \in X$. Moreover, $g_k|_F = p_k(F)$ for every $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}_k$.

We denote $g = g_n$. Let $1 \le k \le n$ and $x \in \cup\mathcal{F}_k$. Then $x \in F$ for some $F \in \mathcal{F}_k$ and $g_k(x) = p_k(F)$. Taking into consideration (B), we get

$$d(f(x), g_k(x)) \le \frac{1}{2^{k+2}}.$$

Then (3) implies that

$$d(f(x), g(x)) \le d(f(x), g_k(x)) + \sum_{i=k}^{n-1} d(g_i(x), g_{i+1}(x)) < \frac{1}{2^{k+2}} + \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} < \frac{1}{2^k},$$

which completes the proof. □

## 4. A generalization of the Lebesgue-Hausdorff Theorem

**Theorem 4.** *Let $X$ be a topological space and $Y$ be a metrizable connected and locally arcwise connected space. Then*

$$\Sigma^{f*}_0(X, Y) \subseteq \mathrm{B}_1(X, Y).$$

*Proof.* Let $d$ be a metric on $Y$ which generates its topological structure. Using locally arcwise connectedness of $Y$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we choose a covering $\mathcal{U}_k$ of $Y$ by open balls of diameters $< \frac{1}{2^{k+2}}$ such that any two points in any set $U \in \mathcal{U}_k$ can be joined by an arc of diameter $< \frac{1}{2^{k+2}}$.

Let $f \in \Sigma^{f*}_0(X, Y)$ and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a $\sigma$-sfd base for $f$ which consists of functionally closed sets in $X$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we put

$$\mathcal{B}_k = (B \in \mathcal{B} : B \subseteq f^{-1}(U) \text{ for some } U \in \mathcal{U}_k).$$

Then $\mathcal{B}_k$ is a $\sigma$-sfd family and $X = \cup\mathcal{B}_k$ for every $k$. According to [9, Lemma 13] for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a sequence $(\mathcal{B}_{k,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of sfd families $\mathcal{B}_{k,n} = (B_{k,n,i} : i \in I_{k,n})$ of functionally closed subsets of $X$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{k,n} \prec \mathcal{B}_k$, $\mathcal{B}_{k,n} \prec \mathcal{B}_{k,n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup \mathcal{B}_{k,n} = X$. For all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we put

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,n} = (B_{1,n,i_1} \cap \dots \cap B_{k,n,i_k} : i_m \in I_{m,n}, 1 \le m \le k)$$

and notice that every $\mathcal{F}_{k,n}$ is strongly functionally discrete family of functionally closed sets with

(a) $\mathcal{F}_{k+1,n} \prec \mathcal{F}_{k,n}$,
(b) $\mathcal{F}_{k,n} \prec \mathcal{F}_{k,n+1}$,
(c) $\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup \mathcal{F}_{k,n} = X$.

Fix $n \ge 2$ and for every $k = 1, \dots, n$ we denote $\mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{F}_{k,n}$. Take any $y_0 \in Y$ and put $p_0(X) = y_0$. For every $F \in \mathcal{F}_1$ we take $U_F \in \mathcal{U}_1$ with $f(F) \subseteq U_F$ and any $y_F \in U_F$. Put $p_1(F) = y_F$. Notice that $Y$ is arcwise connected, therefore, the condition (D) of Proposition 3 holds.

Now for every $F \in \mathcal{F}_2$ there exist $F' \in \mathcal{F}_1$ with $f(F) \subseteq f(F')$ and $U_F \in \mathcal{U}_2$ with $f(F) \subseteq U_F$. Take any point $y_F \in U_F \cap U_{F'}$ and put $p_2(F) = y_F$. Notice that we can join $p_2(F)$ and $p_1(F')$ by an arc of diameter $< \frac{1}{2^4}$. Proceeding inductively we obtain mappings $p_k : \mathcal{F}_k \to Y$ which for every $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ satisfy the conditions of Proposition 3. Hence, there exists a continuous mapping $g_n : X \to Y$ such that $d(f(x), g_n(x)) < \frac{1}{2^k}$ if $x \in \cup\mathcal{F}_{k,n}$ for $k \le n$.

We show that the sequence $(g_n)_{n=2}^{\infty}$ converges pointwise to $f$ on $X$. Let $x \in X$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\frac{1}{2^k} < \varepsilon$. Conditions (b) and (c) imply that there exists $n_0 \geq k$ such that $x \in \mathcal{F}_{k,n}$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Then we have

$$d(f(x), g_n(x)) < \frac{1}{2^k} < \varepsilon$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. Hence, $f \in \mathrm{B}_1(X, Y)$. □

Combining Theorems 1 and 4 we get the following result.

**Theorem 5.** *Let $X$ be a topological space and $Y$ be a metrizable connected and locally arcwise connected space. Then*

$$\mathrm{K}_1(X, Y) \cap \Sigma^f(X, Y) = \mathrm{B}_1(X, Y).$$

**Remark 1.** If $X$ is a normal space, then Proposition 2 and Theorem 5 imply Theorem C.

For a product $Y = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n$ of topological spaces we denote by $\pi_n$ a projection mapping $\pi_n : Y \to Y_n$, $\pi_n(y) = y_n$ for every $y = (y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in Y$.

**Corollary 6.** *Let $X$ be a topological space, $(Y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of metrizable connected and locally arcwise connected spaces and let $Y \subseteq \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n$ be a subspace such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a continuous mapping $h_n : \prod_{k=1}^{n} Y_k \to Y$ with $\pi_n(h_n(y)) = y$ for every $y \in \prod_{k=1}^{n} Y_k$. Then*

$$\mathrm{K}_1(X, Y) \cap \Sigma^f(X, Y) = \mathrm{B}_1(X, Y).$$

*Proof.* We only need to prove the inclusion $\Sigma_0^{f*}(X, Y) \subseteq \mathrm{B}_1(X, Y)$. Let $f \in \Sigma_0^{f*}(X, Y)$. Then $\pi_n \circ f \in B_1(X, Y_n)$ by Theorem 5 for every $n$. Therefore, there exists a sequence of continuous mappings $f_{nm} : X \to Y_n$ such that $\lim_{m\to\infty} f_{nm}(x) = \pi_n(f(x))$ for all $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We put $f_n = h_n \circ f_{nn}$. Then $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of continuous mappings $f_n : X \to Y$ which converges to $f$ pointwise on $X$. □

**Remark 2.** Let $Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in [0,1]^{\omega} : y_n = 1 \text{ and } \forall k > n \ y_k = 0\}$. Then $Y$ is neither arcwise connected nor locally arcwise connected, but the equality $\mathrm{K}_1(X, Y) = \mathrm{B}_1(X, Y)$ holds for any space $X$ by Corollary 6.

**Definition 6.** A topological space $X$ is called

- *almost arcwise connected* if each pair of nonempty open subsets of $X$ can be joined by an arc in $X$;
- *locally almost arcwise connected at $x \in X$* if for any neighborhood $V$ of $x$ there exists a neighborhood $U \subseteq V$ of $x$ such that each pair of nonempty open subsets of $U$ can be joined by an arc in $\overline{V}$;
- *locally almost arcwise connected* if it is locally almost arcwise connected at every point.

**Lemma 7.** *Let $Y$ be a topological space such that $\mathrm{H}_1([0,1], Y) \subseteq \mathrm{B}_1([0,1], Y)$. Then $Y$ is almost arcwise connected.*

*Proof.* Consider nonempty open sets $U$ and $V$ in $Y$ and take different points $u \in U$ and $v \in V$. Let $f(x) = u$ if $x \in [0, 1)$ and $f(1) = v$. Then $f \in \mathrm{H}_1([0,1], Y)$. By the assumption of the theorem there exists a sequence of continuous mappings $f_n : [0,1] \to Y$ which is pointwise convergent to $f$. Then there exists a number $n$ such that $f_n(0) \in U$ and $f_n(1) \in V$, which implies almost arcwise connectedness of $Y$. □

**Lemma 8.** *Let $Y$ be a first-countable topological space such that $\mathrm{H}_1([0,1], Y) \subseteq \mathrm{B}_1([0,1], Y)$. Then $Y$ is locally almost arcwise connected.*

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that there exists a point $y_0 \in Y$ and its neighborhood $V$ such that the condition of locally almost arcwise connectedness at $y_0$ is not satisfied. Let $(V_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ be a family of all basic neighborhoods of $y_0$ which are contained in $V$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we take nonempty open sets $U_{n,0}$ and $U_{n,1}$ in $V_n$ such that any arc starting in $U_{n,0}$ and ending in $U_{n,1}$ necessarily intersects $Y \setminus \overline{V}$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = 0, 1$ fix any point $y_{n,i} \in U_{n,i}$. Let $Q = \{[r_{n,0}, r_{n,1}] : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a dense subset of $(\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]^2)$, where all $r_{n,i}$ are distinct. Define a mapping $f : [0,1] \to Y$ by the formula

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} y_0, & \text{if } x \in [0,1] \setminus Q, \\ y_{n,i}, & \text{if } x = r_{n,i} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } i \in \{0,1\}. \end{cases}$$

Notice that $f([0,1]) \subseteq V$ and $f \in \mathrm{H}_1([0,1], Y)$, since every sequence $(y_{n,i})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $y_0$ in $Y$. Then there exists a sequence $(f_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of continuous mappings $f_k : [0,1] \to Y$ which is pointwise convergent to $f$.

Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider an open set

$$G_m = \bigcup_{k \geq m} f_k^{-1}(Y \setminus \overline{V})$$

and prove that it is dense in $[0,1]$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $I = [r_{n,0}, r_{n,1}]$. Since $f_k(r_{n,i}) \to f(r_{n,i}) = y_{n,i}$, there exists $k \geq m$ such that $f_k(r_{n,i}) \in U_{n,i}$ for $i = 0, 1$. Then $f_k(I) \setminus \overline{V} \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $I \cap G_m \neq \emptyset$.

Notice that $\bigcap\limits_{m=1}^{\infty} G_m \subseteq f^{-1}(Y \setminus V) = \emptyset$, which contradicts to the Bairness of $[0,1]$. □

The last two lemmas immediately imply the following result.

**Corollary 9.** *Let $Y$ be a first-countable space such that $\mathrm{H}_1([0,1], Y) \subseteq \mathrm{B}_1([0,1], Y)$. Then $Y$ is almost arcwise connected and locally almost arcwise connected.*

We observe that the converse proposition is not true even for metrizable separable spaces $Y$ as Example 2 from [4] shows.

**Lemma 10.** *Every regular locally almost arcwise connected space $X$ is locally connected.*

*Proof.* Fix a point $x \in X$ and an open neighborhood $W$ of $x$. Let $U$ and $V$ are open neighborhoods of $x$ such that $U \subseteq \overline{V} \subseteq W$ and each two open nonempty subsets of $U$ can be joined by an arc in $\overline{V}$. Consider a family $\mathcal{C}$ which consists of all arcs intersecting $U$ and lying in $W$. Put $G = (\cup\mathcal{C}) \cup U$ and show that $G$ is connected. Indeed, assume that $G = G_0 \cup G_1$, where $G_0$ and $G_1$ are nonempty disjoint relatively open subsets of $G$. By definition of $G$ we have $U \cap G_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $U \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$. Then the sets $U \cap G_0$ and $U \cap G_1$ can be joined by an arc $\gamma$ lying in $W$. Since $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$ and the set $\gamma$ is connected, it follows that $\gamma \subseteq G_0$ or $\gamma \subseteq G_1$, a contradiction. Hence, $G \subseteq W$ is a connected neighborhood of $x$. Therefore, $X$ is connected im kleinen at $x$. It remains to apply Theorem 10 from [13, p. 90]. □

**Lemma 11.** *Any completely metrizable almost arcwise connected and locally almost arcwise connected space $X$ is arcwise connected and locally arcwise connected.*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that $X$ is connected. Lemma 10 implies that $X$ is locally connected. Then $X$ is arcwise connected and locally arcwise connected by Theorem 1 from [10, p. 254]. □

**Theorem 12.** *Let $Y$ be a completely metrizable space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *$Y$ is connected and locally arcwise connected;*
(ii) *$\mathrm{K}_1(X, Y) \cap \Sigma^f(X, Y) = \mathrm{B}_1(X, Y)$ for any topological space $X$;*
(iii) *$\mathrm{H}_1([0,1], Y) = \mathrm{B}_1([0,1], Y)$.*

*Proof.* The implication (i)⇒(ii) follows from Theorem 5.

The implication (ii)⇒(iii) follows from the completeness of $[0,1]$ and Theorem 3 from [5].

Finally, Lemmas 7, 8 and 11 imply the implication (iii)⇒(i). □

According to Theorem 3 from [5] we have $\mathrm{H}_1(X,Y) \subseteq \Sigma^f(X,Y)$ for any metrizable space $Y$. Therefore, Theorem 5 implies the following fact.

**Corollary 13.** *Let $X$ be a completely metrizable space and $Y$ be a metrizable connected and locally arcwise connected space. Then*

$$\mathrm{H}_1(X,Y) = \mathrm{K}_1(X,Y) = \mathrm{B}_1(X,Y).$$

The following example shows that Corollary 13 is not valid if $Y$ is not metrizable.

**Example 3.** *There exists a connected and locally arcwise connected first-countable Lindelöf space $Y$ such that*

$$\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{R},Y) \setminus \mathrm{B}_1(\mathbb{R},Y) \neq \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* Let $Y$ be the set $(\mathbb{R}\times[0,+\infty),\tau)$ equipped with a topology $\tau$ such that the sets $(x-\varepsilon, x+\varepsilon)\times(y-\varepsilon,y+\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon>0$, are a neighborhood base of a point $(x,y)$ if $y>0$, and the sets $\{(x,0)\}\cup((x,x+\varepsilon)\times[0,\varepsilon))$, $\varepsilon>0$, are a neighborhood base of $(x,y)$ if $y=0$. Notice that $Y$ is a Lindelöf first-countable connected and locally arcwise connected space. We observe that $Y$ is not regular, since each point $(x,0)$ has not a closed neighborhood base. Evidently, the restriction of $\tau$ to the upper half-plane coincides with the Euclidean topology, and the restriction of $\tau$ to $\mathbb{R}\times\{0\}$ coincides with the topology of the Sorgenfrey line.

Let $\mathbb{Q}=\{r_n : n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ and $T=\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}$. Define a function $f:\mathbb{R}\to Y$ by

$$f(x)=\begin{cases}(x-\frac{1}{n},0), & \text{if } x=r_n,\\ (x,0), & \text{if } x\in T.\end{cases}$$

In order to show that $f\in\mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{R},Y)$ it is sufficient to prove that the preimage of a set $V=[a,b)\times\{0\}$, $a<b$, is $F_\sigma$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Denote $E=f^{-1}(V)$. Notice that $A=[a,b)\setminus E$ and $B=E\setminus(a,b)$ are subsets of $\mathbb{Q}$ and

$$E=([a,b)\setminus A)\cup B. \tag{4}$$

Let $A=\{r_{n_k} : k\in\mathbb{N}\}$, where $(n_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is an increasing sequence. Then for every $k$ we have

$$a\le r_{n_k}\le a+\frac{1}{n_k}.$$

Hence, $r_{n_k}\to a$ in $\mathbb{R}$. It follows that $A$ is $G_\delta$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Then $E$ is $F_\sigma$ in $\mathbb{R}$ by (4).

Now we prove that $f\notin\mathrm{B}_1(\mathbb{R},Y)$. Assume to the contrary that there exists a sequence of continuous mappings $f_n:\mathbb{R}\to Y$ which is pointwise convergent to $f$ on $\mathbb{R}$. For $x\in\mathbb{R}$ we denote

$$B(x)=\{(x,0)\}\cup((x,+\infty)\times[0,+\infty))$$

and define

$$A_n=\bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty}\{x\in\mathbb{R} : f_k(x)\in B(f(x))\}$$

for $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Then $\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}A_n=\mathbb{R}$. Since $T$ is a Baire space, there exist $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $(a,b)\subseteq\mathbb{R}$ with

$$(a,b)\subseteq\overline{A_n\cap T}.$$

Notice that $A_n\cap T\subseteq F$, where $F=\bigcap\limits_{k=n}^{\infty}\{x\in\mathbb{R} : \pi_X(f_k(x))\ge x\}$. Since the projection $\pi_X:Y\to\mathbb{R}$, $\pi_X(x,y)=x$, is upper semi-continuous, the set $F$ is closed in $\mathbb{R}$. Then $(a,b)\subseteq F$. It follows that $\pi_X(f(x))\ge x$ for all $x\in(a,b)$, a contradiction. □

## 5. Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the referees for their helpful and constructive comments that greatly contributed to improving the final version of the paper.

## References

[1] R. Baire, *Sur les fonctions de variables reélles*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., ser. 3. (3) (1899), 1–123.
[2] R. Blair, A. Hager, *Extensions of zero-sets and of real-valued functions*, Mathematische Zeitschrift 136 (1974), 41–52.
[3] H. Corson, *Normality in subsets of product spaces*, Amer. J. Math. 81 (1959), 785-796.
[4] M. Fosgerau, *When are Borel functions Baire functions?*, Fund. Math. **143** (1993), 137–152.
[5] R.W. Hansell, *Borel measurable mappings for nonseparable metric spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 161 (1971), 145–169.
[6] R. Hansell, *First class functions with values in nonseparable spaces*, in: Constantin Carathéodory: An International Tribute, T. M. Rassias (ed.), World Sci., Singapore, (1992), 461–475.
[7] F. Hausdorff, *Set Theory*, American Mathematical Soc., (1957).
[8] J.E. Jayne, J. Orihuela, A.J. Pallarés, G. Vera, *$\sigma$-fragmentability of multivalued maps and selection theorems*, J. Fuct. Anal. **117** (2) (1993), 243–273.
[9] O. Karlova, *Functionally $\sigma$-discrete mappings and a generalization of Banach's theorem*, Top. Appl. 189 (2015), 92–106.
[10] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. 2, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
[11] M. Laczkowich, *Baire 1 functions*, Real Anal. Exch. (9) (1983-1984), 15–28.
[12] H. Lebesgue, *Sur les fonctions représentables analytiqment*, J. Math. **1** (6) (1905), 139–216.
[13] R.L. Moore, *Foundations of Point Set Theory*, AMS Coll. Pub., XIII (1962).
[14] C. A. Rogers, *Functions of the first Baire class*, J. London Math. Soc. **37** (3) (1988), 535–544.
[15] S. Rolewicz, *On inversion of non-linear transformations*, Studia Math. 17 (1958), 79–83.
[16] C. Stegall, *Functins of the first Baire class with values in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1991), 981–991.
[17] A.H. Stone, *Paracompactness and product spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (10) (1948), 977–982.
[18] L. Veselý, *Characterization of Baire-one functions between topological spaces*, Acta Univ. Carol., Math. Phys. **33** (2) (1992), 143–156.

*E-mail address*: maslenizza.ua@gmail.com

Department of Mathematical Analysis, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Chernivtsi National University, Kotsyubyns'koho str., 2, Chernivtsi, Ukraine